



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 AJEA 4380
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SOIL AMENDMENTS ON PHOSPHORUS USE AND AGRONOMIC EFFICIENCIES OF TWO MAIZE HYBRIDS IN ACIDIC SOILS OF MOLO COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<i>The authors could probably expand the introduction and include more on application of soil amendments in improving maize production.</i>	This is actually taken care in the introduction from lines 49 to 73
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<i>The study is considered relevant to a current problem. The manuscript is well structured and written in a clear manner. The materials and methods, and results section are appropriate. A minor revision of the introduction is all that is needed.</i>	I have gone through the introduction and made appropriate additions as per the different reviewers' comments